

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,312		07/07/2003	W. John Gardenier	1442.041	2.041 8270	
23405	7590	02/27/2006		EXAM	INER	
HESLIN R 5 COLUMB		BERG FARLEY &	LE, HUYEN D			
ALBANY,				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,				3751		
•						

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			SP				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/614,312	GARDENIER, W. J	GARDENIER, W. JOHN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Huyen Le	3751					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addi	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 De							
<i>'</i>	· 						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayre, 1935 C.L	7. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,11,23-27,29-39,41,42 and 55-61 is 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,11,23-27,29-39,41,42 and 55-61 is 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. s/are rejected.	cation.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyar on is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National St	tage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 11, 23-27, 29-38, 41, 42, 55-57, 60 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tobias et al (5,115,974).

The Tobias et al reference discloses a system for providing pressurized water to a set of water inlets 62 in a bathing enclosure comprising: a portion of the horizontal surface 16 (on the left of unit 10 in Fig. 1) on which a user can sit constitutes a seat at an elevation, a foot well position below the elevation; a least one of a source of pressurized water 30; at least one manifold 52 having at least one inlet in fluid communication with the source of pressurized water 30 and a plurality of outlets 60 in fluid communication with the set of water inlets 62; and a user-operable diverter 38 distinct from the manifold 52 configured to divert at least some of the pressurized water away from the water inlet and to the foot well below.

Regarding claims 2, 13, the user-operable diverter 38 is positioned upstream of the manifold 52.

Regarding claims 3, 14, the user-operable diverter 38 comprises a variable user-operable diverter.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,312

Art Unit: 3751

Regarding claims 4, 15, the set of water inlets 62 comprise a plurality of first water inlets having a first pressure drop to the flow of water therethrough, and wherein the bathing enclosure comprises at least one second water inlet 36 comprising a second pressure drop to the flow of water therethrough, wherein the user-operable diverter diverts at least some of the pressurized water to the at least one second water inlet 36 of the bathing enclosure wherein the second pressure drop is less than the first pressure drop because first water inlets 62 comprises a plurality of openings.

Regarding claims 6, 17, the system further comprises at least one conduit 24 between the source of pressurized water 30 and the manifold inlet 52, wherein the user-operable diverter 38 is in fluid communication with the conduit 24.

Regarding claims 7, 18, the system further comprises at least one conduit (channel) positioned between the manifold outlet 60 and the set of water inlets 62.

Regarding claims 8, 19, the user-operable diverter 38 comprises a valve.

Regarding claims 10, 21, the bathing enclosure comprises at least one foot well, wherein the user-operable diverter 38 diverts water to the one foot well.

Regarding claims 11, 22, the bathing enclosure comprises a pool.

Regarding claims 23-34, the method for pressurizing water to a set of water inlets in a bathing enclosure is inherently performed during the installation and normal use of the system.

Regarding claim 35, the system includes a one single speed pump.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,312

Art Unit: 3751

Regarding claim 37, the valve 38 is a variable pressure relief valve.

Regarding claim 40, the bathing enclosure comprises at least one foot well, wherein the user-operable diverter 38 diverts water to the one foot well.

Regarding claim 41, the set of water jets 62 is located in one seat surface 16.

Regarding claim 42, the bathing enclosure comprises a pool.

Regarding claim 55, the surface16 comprises a plurality of portions joined by a connector therebetween, the plurality of portions 16 constitute a plurality of seats.

Regarding claim 56, the set of water inlets are positioned above the seat elevation (surface portion 16).

Regarding claim 57, the manifold 60 comprises a plenum into which the least one inlet discharges water and from which water is distributed to the plurality of outlets 60.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5 and 31 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tobias et al (5,115,974).

Although the Tobias et al reference does not specifically disclose that the second pressure drop of the second water inlet is at least 50 percent less than the pressure drop across the first set of water inlets, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Art Unit: 3751

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select pressure drop for the second inlet within a certain range to best fit a particular a water system for a bathing device and to optimize the performance. See In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233, using the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Page 5

5. Claims 9 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tobias et al (5,115,974).

Although the Tobias et al reference shows only one manifold for creating water effect having one pump and one diverting valve, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide more than one Tobias water manifolds with pumps and diverting valves to further enhance water effect a swimming pool. See St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co. 193 USPQ 8 (duplication of parts for enhanced effect involves only routine skill).

6. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11, 23-27, 29, 30, 32-39, 41, 42, 55-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruderian (3,374,492) in view of Friend (3,641,996).

The Ruderian reference discloses a system for providing pressurized water to a set of water inlets 27,28,29, 30 in a bathing enclosure comprising: at least one seat 25 at an elevation, a foot well position below the elevation; a least one of a source of pressurized water 42; at least one manifold 41 having at least one inlet in fluid communication with the source of pressurized water 42 and a plurality of outlets in fluid communication with the set of water inlets 27,28,29, 30.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,312 Page 6

Art Unit: 3751

Although Ruderian does not disclose that the system comprise a user-operable diverter 38 for divert at least some of the pressurized water away from the water inlet and to the foot well below, attention is direct to the Friend reference which teaches at least one user-operable diverter 13.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the Ruduerian bathing system with at least one user-operable diverter in view of the teaching of the Friend reference for divert at least some of the pressurized water away from the water inlet and to the foot well below.

7. Claims 5 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruderian (3,374,492) in view of Friend (3,641,996).

Although the Ruderian reference does not specifically disclose that the second pressure drop of the second water inlet is at least 50 percent less than the pressure drop across the first set of water inlets, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select pressure drop for the second inlet within a certain range to best fit a particular a water system for a bathing device and to optimize the performance. See In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233, using the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nicollet teaches a bathing system.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,312 Page 7

Art Unit: 3751

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huyen Le whose telephone number is 571-272-4890. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on 571-272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Huyen Le Examiner Art Unit 3751

February 21, 2006